

IN PRESS. COGNITIVE NEURPSYCHIATRY

**You must be looking at me: the nature of gaze perception in  
schizophrenia patients**

**Christine Hooker, Ph.D. and Sohee Park, Ph.D.**

**Department of Psychology, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL  
60208**

Address reprints requests to either Christine Hooker, Helen Wills  
Neuroscience Institute, 132 Barker Hall, University of California, Berkeley,  
CA 94720-3190 (e-mail: [chooker@socrates.berkeley.edu](mailto:chooker@socrates.berkeley.edu)) or Sohee Park,  
Department of Psychology, Vanderbilt University, Wilson Hall, Nashville,  
TN 37240 (e-mail: [sohee.park@vanderbilt.edu](mailto:sohee.park@vanderbilt.edu))

Key words: schizophrenia, theory of mind, gaze perception, intentionality,  
attention, social cognition

**ABSTRACT:**

*Introduction:* Accurately identifying gaze direction is an important component of successful social interaction. Preliminary research indicates that schizophrenia patients have deficits in gaze perception, but the nature of this deficit is still unclear. The current study investigates whether nonspecific perceptual abnormalities could explain gaze perception deficits and whether schizophrenia patients show a direct gaze bias in their judgment. *Method:* 15 chronic schizophrenia patients and 19 normal control participants made a direct gaze judgment for eyes in a face, and a center judgment for a geometric shape in a scrambled face. *Results:* The data show that schizophrenia patients are as accurate as healthy control subjects at identifying direct gaze when it occurs but they are more likely to misinterpret averted gaze as directed at them. The pattern of results indicates that this tendency to endorse direct gaze is not a consequence of a perceptual deficit in judging angular displacement. *Conclusion:* Schizophrenia patients have a self-referential bias in judging the direction of gaze that could lead to the misinterpretation of another person's intentions during the course of social interaction.

**INTRODUCTION:**

Understanding and interpreting another person's gaze direction is a critical element of social interactions. Gaze direction can indicate a person's direction of attention, intention, and mental state (Baron-Cohen 1995). Studies on gaze perception indicate that we have an innate mechanism for attending to eyes (Haith et al 1977; Maurer 1985) and gaze direction (Haines and Muir 1996; Hood et al 1998), and that we use this information to estimate the attentional focus of another person and infer what is on their mind (Baron-Cohen 1995). Perceiving that someone else is looking at you is especially salient, since direct gaze can be a signal of either friendly or hostile interest to engage in social contact (Brothers, 1990).

Schizophrenia patients misinterpret a variety of social cues including facial and vocal expressions of emotion (Hooker and Park, 2002), interpersonal intentions from gestures (Corrigan, 1994), and judgment of emotion from the eyes (Kington et al., 2003). However, given the importance of correct interpretation of gaze direction for social development and social cognition, there are relatively few investigations of this ability in schizophrenia patients. At the same time, there are indications that gaze perception and interpretation might be an important aspect in understanding schizophrenia pathology and experience. For

example, schizophrenia patients often have the subjective impression that others are directing their attention towards them (e.g., in paranoid or persecutory delusions (Fenigstein and Venables, 1992; American Psychiatric Association 1994). However, it is not known how aspects of social perception, such as identifying and understanding gaze direction, may contribute to these impressions and feelings for schizophrenia patients.

In addition, the relatively few gaze perception studies in schizophrenia patients indicate gaze perception abnormalities. Rosse et al. (1994) investigated eye gaze direction perception using face slides of center and averted gaze. Subjects were asked, with no time constraints, to identify whether or not the person in the picture was looking at them. Rosse et al. (1994) found that schizophrenia patients were more likely than control participants to say that the person in the picture was looking at them, even when the gaze was averted. These findings suggest a self-referential bias in that patients believe that others are referring to them or, in this case, looking at them. However, it was unclear from this study whether or not schizophrenia patients had specific gaze perception deficits, general perception deficits (Schwartz et al., 2001), or whether this effect was due to cognitive distortions, such as persecutory delusions, that may be influenced by paranoia.

Franck et al. (1998) investigated fundamental gaze perception skills of schizophrenia patients by presenting right and left gaze portraits in a forced choice paradigm. When direct gaze was not a choice, schizophrenia patients showed no deficits, suggesting that they have intact perceptual mechanisms but that erroneous gaze judgments may be influenced by higher level cognitive mechanisms.

On the other hand, making left/ right judgments with no time constraints is an easy task (mean accuracy was near 100%), and might be accomplished by using low level perceptual contrast information that is not dependent on gaze discrimination. Furthermore, in real life situations, direction of attention or intention from gaze direction can be communicated quickly.

In a more recent study, Franck et al. (2002) had patients identify the direction (right or left) or the mutuality (looking at me or not) of gaze on a set of stimuli, which included center gaze plus 6 averted gaze positions (right and left). They found that schizophrenia patients took longer to decide whether the person in the stimuli picture was looking at them as compared to whether the person's gaze was to the right or left. They interpreted their results as evidence that schizophrenia patients have intact perceptual abilities and that their self-referential judgments in gaze direction are related to higher-level analysis. Although the authors investigated the psychophysical threshold of the direct gaze perception

(i.e., the angle which differentiated direct versus averted gaze), they did not find a difference in this threshold between schizophrenia patients and normal control subjects.

Understanding the exact nature of schizophrenia patients' gaze perception and interpretation abnormalities may provide clues to aspects of neurocognitive function, as well as causal factors contributing to broader problems in social cognition and social functioning. Neuroscience investigations are beginning to delineate brain regions involved in perceiving and interpreting gaze cues. For example, neuroimaging and neurophysiology data indicate that the superior temporal sulcus region is specifically responsive to meaningful gaze cues that indicate the direction of attention of another person (Hooker et al., 2003; Perrett et al., 1985; Perrett and Emery, 1994), and neuropsychological patients with superior temporal lesions have difficulty identifying gaze direction (Campbell et al., 1990). Judging complex emotional information from the eyes activates the ventral prefrontal and orbital frontal regions in normal subjects (Baron-Cohen et al., 1999; Russell et al., 2000). Schizophrenia patients have difficulty judging emotion from the eyes and they show less activity in the ventral prefrontal cortex as compared to normal control subjects during this task (Russell et al., 2000). It is unclear, from this study, whether neural dysfunction causes poor performance or whether poor performance on the task results in less neural activity.

Nonetheless, in light of these functional neuroimaging findings, it is interesting to note that schizophrenia patients have structural abnormalities in these same superior temporal (Shenton, 1996) and frontal regions (Harrison, 1999). The combined data suggests that neural dysfunction could be a contributing factor to the perception and interpretation of gaze. However, the behavioral and cognitive patterns of gaze perception abnormalities in schizophrenia patients need to be further delineated in order to understand brain behavior relationships in future neuroimaging investigations of gaze perception.

Another line of evidence suggests that early visual processing deficits contribute to difficulties in social perception and social functioning. Performance on a facial emotion identification task correlated with early visual processing performance as measured by the Span of Apprehension task (SPAN) (Kee et al., 1998). In addition, accuracy in interpreting gestures in interpersonal contexts was significantly correlated with accuracy on a target detection task using forward and backward visual masking (Sergi and Green, 2002). Based on these data, Sergi and Green (2002) suggest that problems in social perception and interpretation may be explained by early visual processing dysfunction with the idea that disruptions in early visual processing may differentially impact social perception since social signals change in a rapid and dynamic fashion.

The current project investigates gaze perception in schizophrenia patients by employing a direct gaze detection paradigm where subjects answer the question - Is this person looking at you or not? - in response to face photographs with direct gaze and five different degrees of gaze deviation to the left and right. This paradigm is similar to paradigms used in neurophysiology (Perrett and Emery, 1994), neuroimaging (Hooker et al., 2003), and neuropsychological (Campbell et al., 1990) studies, as well as studies investigating gaze in schizophrenia patients (Franck et al., 2002), and thus provides continuity across investigations. However, we added three novel aspects to the task in order to address outstanding questions in the literature.

First, we incorporated a nongaze perceptual control task in which participants judged whether a black box was in the center of a white rectangle (geometric control condition). The black box was presented in the center and deviated to the left and right to the same degree as the gaze stimuli. This provides an assessment of perceptual abilities for nonsocial stimuli as well as a general measure of task performance – an important addition given that schizophrenia patients do not perform as well as normal control participants on many types of cognitive tasks (Chapman and Chapman, 1979). Secondly, in order to estimate the extent to which the schizophrenia patient's sense of being looked at might be guided by cognitive distortions independent of perceptual discrimination, we

required subjects to make the same judgment about ambiguous stimuli that, under strict time constraints, had the appearance of the regular stimuli but did not provide any task relevant (gaze or geometric shape) information. In other words, a direct gaze bias for the ambiguous stimuli would indicate that self-referential distortions may occur in a wide variety of social contexts and is not necessarily the result of specific problems misinterpreting gaze cues. Thirdly, we used a backward masking technique to limit the amount of processing time and investigate whether shorter processing time would differentially affect schizophrenia patients relative to controls and social processing more than nonsocial processing.

We hypothesized that 1) schizophrenia patients would endorse direct gaze more than control subjects; 2) this direct gaze bias would be greater with less processing time; 3) schizophrenia subjects would not show a center bias for non-gaze stimuli; 4) schizophrenia patients would show a direct gaze bias for the ambiguous stimuli in the gaze task but not a center bias in the control task.

## **METHODS**

### *Participants*

15 schizophrenia (10 men, 5 women) and 19 normal control subjects (11 men, 8 women) participated in the study. The patients were recruited from a community residential psychiatric facility and the outpatient

psychiatry service at Northwestern Memorial Hospital. All patients were diagnosed with schizophrenia according to the DSM-IV criteria, using a structured interview (Schedule for Schizophrenia and Affective Disorder (Spitzer and Endicott, 1978)) and medical chart reviews. Advanced clinical psychology graduate students as well as a licensed clinical psychologist conducted the interviews. All interviewers were blind to the purpose of the study at the time of the interview. Patients with co-morbid Axis I or Axis II disorders, neurological disorder, or significant head injury were excluded from the study. The mean age of the schizophrenia subjects was 40.1 (SD=9.3) years old, and they had an average of 13.5 (SD=2) years of education. The mean duration of illness was 20.6 (SD=9.2) years. All patients were receiving antipsychotic medication (primarily atypical antipsychotics) at the time of testing. The estimated mean CPZ equivalent was 1156.8mg (SD=916). The normal control participants were recruited via campus and community postings and screened for history of psychiatric illness, neurological disorder, or head injury. Normal control participants were given the Schizotypal Personality Questionnaire (Raine 1995) and excluded if they had more than 45 positive responses. The control participants had a mean age of 40 (7.3) years and an average of 13.6 (1.7) years of education. There was no significant difference in age ( $t=.05$ ,  $p>.1$ ) or years of education ( $t= .2$ ,  $p<.1$ ) between the two groups.

Institutional Review Board at Northwestern University approved the study protocol. After a complete description of the study, all subjects provided written, informed consent; they completed the experiment in one session on one day; they were debriefed after completing the experiment, and were paid for their participation.

*Materials and Procedure:*

Grayscale, 3inch x 5inch, 170 dpi images of the face portraits and geometric stimuli were presented on the computer monitor. The face portraits used for the gaze task were created by a professional photographer who photographed four different models (2 men and 2 women) directing their gaze to 11 different positions: Eyes directed toward the camera (direct gaze/ $0^\circ$ ) and eyes averted  $20^\circ$ ,  $30^\circ$ ,  $40^\circ$ ,  $50^\circ$ , and  $55^\circ$  to the left and right. The design of the geometric control stimuli was based on an earlier study of eye gaze direction detection in normal individuals (see Von Grunau and Anston 1995), and was modified to approximately match the face portraits in visual complexity. The geometric control stimuli were created by “cutting and pasting” pieces of the face stimuli to create an equal size image of a scrambled face (in which face features were not recognizable), approximately the same luminance and contrast as the face stimuli. A white rectangle the height and width of an eye (.5 in.) with a small black box inside it (size of the iris) was placed

in the middle of the scrambled image. The black box shifted its position from center to mirror the shifts in the cornea relative to the sclera for the eye gaze stimuli (i.e., center/0° and 20°, 30°, 40°, 50°, 55° to the left and right).

The ambiguous stimulus for the gaze task was an outline of a face portrait (hairline and neckline) with no facial features (i.e., the main part of the face was blank gray), and the entire image was substantially degraded. The ambiguous stimuli for the geometric control consisted of the scrambled face, which was used for the geometric stimuli, but without the white rectangle with the black box in the middle. In other words, the ambiguous stimuli for both tasks provide enough visual information to convince participants that it is the same type of image as in the rest of the task, but it does not provide the relevant perceptual information.

All stimuli were presented for 30 ms and then followed by a mask (a scrambled face with no visible facial features) which was presented for 75 ms. The inter-stimulus interval (ISI) between the stimulus and mask was one of three durations: 30ms, 60ms or 180ms. All ambiguous stimuli were presented with an ISI of 30ms. The ambiguous stimuli were presented at the shortest ISI so that subjects would not be able to confidently ascertain that critical features were missing. After the mask, a blank screen with a fixation point appeared which signaled the participant to respond. The participants were instructed that they would see a face or

geometric design flash quickly on the screen and that, in the Gaze task, they needed to identify whether or not the eyes were looking directly at them, and, in the Geometric Control task, they needed to identify whether or not the black box was in the center of the rectangle. Participants responded "Yes" or "No" by pressing labeled keys on the keyboard. Participants were told that the images would be presented at varying speeds and that sometimes it would be so fast that they may not be able to tell whether or not the picture was present. They were instructed that, in those cases, to take their best guess. See figures 1 and 2 for an illustration of the task and an example of each stimulus.

The experiment was administered in 16 separate blocks consisting of 96 randomly presented trials of one stimulus type. Thus subjects performed blocks of either the gaze or geometric control task. Block order was rotated across subjects. For each task, every angle was presented 32 times at each ISI condition, with the exception of the ambiguous trials that were presented for a total of 192 times at the same ISI. All participants were given a short block of 12 practice trials to ensure that they understood the directions. Instructions were repeated when necessary but no feedback regarding accuracy was given on the practice trials. Participants were encouraged to take breaks whenever necessary.

Figures 1 and 2 about here

## RESULTS

Four separate analyses were conducted on the data and described below.

### *Percent of Direct Gaze and Center Judgments*

First, the number of direct gaze and center judgments (i.e., “yes” responses) were entered as a dependent variable into a repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Task (Gaze and Geometric Control), ISI (30, 60 and 180ms), and Angle (0°, 20°, 30°, 40°, 50°, and 55°) as within subject variables, and Diagnosis as a between subject variable. This analysis shows: 1) a main effect of Task, such that both schizophrenia patients and normal control participants were more likely to make a direct gaze judgment for gaze than a center judgment for the geometric control condition ( $F(1, 32) = 25.1, P < .001$ ); 2) a main effect of Angle, such that all participants make the most center judgments at zero with the number of center judgments decreasing as the angle of deviation becomes larger [ $F(5, 160) = 299.2, P < .0001$ ]; 3) a main effect of ISI, such that all participants were more likely to make a center judgment (at all angles) at shorter ISIs [ $F(2, 64) = 10.5, P < .0001$ ]; and 4) a Task\*Angle\*Diagnosis interaction [ $F(5, 160) = 3.1, P = .01$ ], such that the schizophrenia patients showed a different pattern of responses from the control group at specific angles (see figure 3). Post hoc comparisons reveal interactions at two angles. In the

direct/center (0°) condition, schizophrenia patients perform as accurately as normal control subjects in correctly identifying direct gaze; however, schizophrenia patients are significantly worse at identifying whether the geometric shape is in the center. There is no significant difference in the pattern of performance between normal control subjects and schizophrenia patients at twenty degrees of deviation. However, at thirty degrees of deviation, schizophrenia patients endorse direct gaze significantly more than control subjects, but there is no difference in their performance on the geometric control task.

The only other significant factor in this analysis was an interaction of Task \* ISI \* Angle [ $F(10, 320) = 3.9, p < .0001$ ] indicating a different pattern of responding for Gaze as compared to the Geometric Control task, across subject groups, for each ISI and angle of deviation. An evaluation of mean performance for each condition suggests that performance, especially in the center condition, on the Geometric Control task improves at longer ISIs. However, longer processing time does not enhance performance on the Gaze task. That is, performance on the Gaze task remains stable across ISI.

Responses for the ambiguous trials were analyzed in a separate repeated measures ANOVA with the percentage of direct/center responses as the dependent variable, Task as the within subject variable, and Diagnosis as the between subjects variable. This analysis showed a

main effect of task and a main effect of diagnosis but no interaction. Both schizophrenia patients and normal control participants were more likely to endorse direct gaze when presented with ambiguous stimuli in the Gaze Task (schizophrenia patients  $M= 32.4\%$  ( $SD = 27$ ); Normal Control  $M= 19.2\%$  ( $SD=25$ )) than they were to endorse that the square was in the center in the Geometric Control Task (schizophrenia  $M= 21.1\%$  ( $SD=25$ ); Normal Control  $M= 6.2\%$  ( $SD=10$ ) ( $F(1, 1), =8.7, p<.05$ ). In addition, schizophrenia patients were more likely to respond that gaze was direct and that the black box was in the center in both conditions (main effect of diagnosis  $F(1,32)=4.6, p<.05$ ). However, there was no evidence of an interaction, such that schizophrenia patients were responding "yes" significantly more in the Gaze Task than the Geometric Control task relative to normal control participants (Task\* Diagnosis interaction,  $F(1, 32)= .05, p>.1$ ).

#### *Angle of Deviation*

For the second analysis we identified the angle of deviation in which subjects continued to endorse direct gaze (and make a center judgment) at least 30% of the time and tested whether this angle was significantly different between the groups. The purpose of this analysis was to simplify the data by identifying the angle of deviation at which participants continued to endorse direct gaze a significant amount of the

time. We chose the angle at which subjects continued to respond that gaze was directed at them (or the black box was in the center) 30% of the time because this was the base rate of direct/center responses across individual subjects, and therefore all subjects were included. For this analysis, we computed the most deviated angle at which the participant continued to make a direct gaze or center judgment at least 30% of the time averaged across ISIs, for each task. This calculation is based on psychophysical methodology (e.g. Gesheider, 1976)). The analysis did not include ambiguous trials.

Our hypothesis predicts that schizophrenia patients will endorse direct gaze when the target eye position is at a larger angle of deviation than normal control participants, but that the two groups would not differ significantly on the geometric control task.

One angle measurement for each subject and each task was used as the dependent variable. A repeated measures ANOVA analysis using this angle of deviation showed a significant Task\*Diagnosis interaction ( $F(1,32)=5.0, p<.05$ ) such that schizophrenia patients were endorsing direct gaze at significantly more deviated angles ( $M=36.5^{\circ}(7.2)$ ) than control participants ( $M=28.0^{\circ}(8.0)$ ), but the two groups did not differ on the angle of deviation for the geometric control condition (schizophrenia  $M= 20.5^{\circ}(10.8)$ ; Normal Control  $M=20.8^{\circ}(8.5)$ ) (see figure 4).

Figures 3 and 4 about here

### *Reaction Time*

We computed mean reaction time for each response type: Hits (correct identification of gaze as direct or center square as center), Correct Rejections (correctly judging that gaze was not direct and the square was not in the center), False Positive responses (incorrectly judging the stimulus as direct or center), and False Negative responses (incorrectly judging that gaze was not direct or square was not centered). Mean reaction times for each response type were entered into a repeated measures ANOVA with Response Type (Hit, Correct Rejection, False Positive, and False Negative) and Task (Gaze and Geometric Control) as within subject variables and Diagnosis as a between subject variable. (Reaction times for ambiguous trials are analyzed separately and discussed below).

The reaction time analysis showed a main effect of response type such that subjects across groups were quicker for correct responses (Hits and Correct Rejections) than incorrect responses (False Positives and False Negatives) [ $F(3, 96)=9.5, p<.0001$ ].

There were no significant effects of diagnosis at the a priori statistical threshold of  $p<.05$ .

However, because gaze perception is an emerging field of study, we report two effects of diagnosis that reach statistical significance at the trend level for the purpose of comparison across closely related studies in the literature. Schizophrenia patients had slower reaction times, overall, than normal control participants [ $F(1, 32)=3.3, p=.07$ ]. More interestingly, a Task \* Diagnosis interaction [ $F(1, 32)=3.4, P=.07$ ] indicates that schizophrenia patients had slower reaction times on the Gaze task (RT = 743ms (SD=395)) as compared to the Geometric Control task (RT = 704 (SD=279)), whereas normal control subjects had faster reaction times to the Gaze task (RT= 537ms (SD=227)) as compared to the Geometric Control task (RT = 597ms (SD=332)). Because incorrect responses are generally slower than correct responses and schizophrenia subjects had more incorrect responses, we did a repeated measures ANOVA of just correct responses (Hits and Correct Rejections). This Response Type \* Diagnosis interaction [ $F(1,32)= 3.8, P=.06$ ] suggests that schizophrenia patients had a quicker response to hits (correctly identifying direct as direct and center as center) as compared to correct rejections, whereas normal control participants had a quicker response to correct rejections than to hits.

A repeated measures ANOVA of reaction time for the Ambiguous trials shows a significant effect of diagnosis, such that schizophrenia patients were slower than normal controls [ $F(1, 32) =5.5, p=.03$ ] and a

significant effect of response type such that subjects were slower to make a “yes” judgment than a “no” judgment [ $F(1, 32)=30, p<.001$ ]. No other effects were significant.

### *Correlational Analyses*

Finally, in a separate correlational analysis, none of the patient variables, such as Chlorpromazine equivalent dose, duration of illness, age and education level showed a significant correlation with the dependent variables of interest. This suggests that the findings outlined are not due to an artifact of illness.

## **CONCLUSIONS**

This study indicates that schizophrenia patients have a tendency to judge that other people are looking at them when, in fact, they are not. In this study, schizophrenia patient and normal control participants had to discriminate angular displacement from center in two forced choice behavioral tasks. In the gaze task, participants identified whether a person in a stimulus photo was looking at them or not. In the geometric control task, participants identified whether a black box was in the center of a white rectangle – a stimulus that had the same luminance, contrast, and dimensions as the gaze stimulus.

Two separate analyses confirm that schizophrenia patients are more likely than normal control participants to identify averted gaze as directed at them and that this tendency is not solely due to poor task performance or general perceptual difficulties. In an analysis of percent of direct gaze and geometric center responses, schizophrenia patients are as accurate as normal control participants in correctly identifying direct gaze, but they are worse than normal controls in correctly identifying geometric center in the control task. However, at thirty degrees of deviation from center, schizophrenia patients judge that the person in the photograph is looking at them significantly more than normal control participants, yet the two groups are equally accurate in identifying that the geometric stimuli is not centered. This complex interaction indicates that schizophrenia patients have a direct gaze bias which increases accurate detection of direct gaze when it truly occurs but leads to misinterpretations when gaze is averted.

Furthermore, the analysis confirms that the results are not an artifact of response bias since schizophrenia patients did not have more “yes” responses across both tasks, and they did not have significantly more “yes” responses in the Gaze task than the Geometric Control task compared to normal subjects across all angles.

A separate analysis shows that schizophrenia patients continue to endorse direct gaze 30% of the time at a higher angle of deviation (36.5°

averted) than control subjects ( $28^\circ$  averted); however, the angle of deviation that each group endorses as geometric center, at this same rate, does not differ between groups. This analysis confirms the idea that schizophrenia patients specifically misinterpret gaze in a self-referential manner.

To investigate the possibility that schizophrenia patients may be projecting a pervasive self-referential cognitive distortion on all social stimuli, we included ambiguous stimuli that did not contain pertinent task relevant information. We found that when schizophrenia patients were forced to make a judgment on ambiguous stimuli, they made more direct gaze and center judgments as compared to non-schizophrenic subjects. Thus, when given no task relevant perceptual information, schizophrenia patients' judgment criteria is more lax but does not show an elevated bias specific for eye gaze. The meaning and significance of these data is not clear. If cognitive distortions were responsible for their self-referential bias in the gaze task, one would expect an interaction such that they endorsed direct gaze more than normal controls on the gaze task but not the geometric control task. This pattern was not evident in the ambiguous trials.

Rather than a pervasive self-referential bias that applies to all social stimuli, it appears, from this study and others (Franck et al., 2002), that if schizophrenia patients suspect the possibility exists that someone *could* be

watching them, they are more likely to decide that someone *is* watching them and act accordingly.

Although the analysis of reaction time did not produce significant effects, the trends in the data were consistent with the idea that schizophrenia patients are relatively efficient at correctly identifying direct gaze, but, as evidenced by longer reaction times for the Gaze Task across all angles of deviation, they seem to be less confident when deciding whether the gaze is looking at them or not. This finding is similar to Franck et al. (2002), who found that schizophrenia patients had longer reaction times when deciding whether someone is looking at them or not than they did when judging whether the gaze was left or right from the same photographs. Together these findings suggest that schizophrenia patients have more difficulty identifying the intention of another person in relation to themselves as opposed to just difficulty with directional or angular judgments, per se, independent of the possibility of social engagement.

We did not find that shorter processing time, as controlled by backward masking, differentially affected schizophrenia patients as compared to control participants. Interestingly, the results indicated that shorter processing time may disrupt the evaluation of nonsocial stimuli more than social stimuli for both groups. This finding could indicate that social information is processed more quickly or has parallel channels that

make it more resistant to disruption. However, this was not a main focus of the present experiment and more work needs to be done to investigate the effect of backward masking on different types of stimuli.

In conclusion, our results indicate that schizophrenia patients have a self-referential bias when judging whether someone is looking at them, and that this bias is not the result of a severe perceptual deficit (Franck et al., 1998; 2002), nor a difficulty in judging angular displacement of stimuli, nor an artifact of poor task performance.

Further research needs to be done to determine the cause and consequence of this direct gaze bias. It is possible that the tendency for schizophrenia patients to incorrectly endorse direct gaze is the result of an interaction between faulty neurobiological systems and distorted cognitive beliefs. Future research may want to investigate this interaction of cognitive structure (e.g., identified paranoid beliefs) and perceptual information, especially of a social nature, in order to understand the nature of the effect more specifically. In addition, future research could investigate neural correlates of this bias, since dysfunctional processing in the frontal and temporal lobe regions may contribute to gaze perception abnormalities. Using the current paradigm in an fMRI investigation may provide the opportunity to disentangle the contribution of cognitive bias and hyper or hypoactivity on performance, since the ample number of response types (hits, correct rejections, false positives, and false negatives)

would allow for separate analyses of brain activity correlating with specific error types. For example, if gaze direction perception problems are caused by dysfunction, such as hypoactivity, in the superior temporal region, one might expect the same pattern of brain activity for all types of gaze perception errors. However, if there is an additional process contributing to self-referential bias in gaze perception and interpretation, one would expect a difference between errors congruent with a direct gaze bias (false positive) as compared to errors caused from missing direct gaze detection (false negative).

### *Limitations*

There are several limitations to the current study that need to be acknowledged. First, the paradigm we used was difficult; we required participants to sustain attention over many repetitive trials and asked them to make fine discrimination judgments with limited viewing time. We encouraged participants to take breaks whenever necessary, which they did, but it was, nonetheless, quite taxing on both normal control participants as well as the patients. Initial studies in our laboratory using an easier task with longer processing time (i.e. no backward masking) and basic (i.e. right, left, and center) gaze judgments showed a trend toward a center gaze bias but not a significant effect (Hooker and Park 1999). Thus, we designed a challenging task that would provide ample variability and

allow for the analysis of different response types. Given the predicted pattern of results that emerged from the data, we do not believe that difficulty was a confounding variable in the design. In addition, although the backward masking paradigm, has been shown to be especially difficult for schizophrenia patients (Green et al., 1994), we used this method purposefully, in order to control processing time and create a challenging task. There was no indication in the data that the backward masking affected the schizophrenia patients differently than normal controls or that the masking affected stimulus type differently for the different subject groups. Therefore, we do not believe that differential sensitivity to masking could explain the results. One reason that we may not have found a difference between groups, as has been found in the past (Green, 1994), is because the masking tasks that are specifically assessing early visual processing generally have much shorter presentation times and ISIs.

Interestingly, the controlled processing time may have accentuated the direct gaze bias. Franck et al. (2002) used a psychophysical measure in their analysis of direct gaze but did not find a significant difference between schizophrenia patients and normal control participants. In their study, participants identified direct gaze among averted gaze distractors that were various degrees from center. The stimuli were not masked and participants had unlimited time to respond. The authors found a reaction

time difference between judging direct gaze perception as compared to right/left gaze direction. However, they did not find a difference in the angle at which subjects endorsed direct gaze 50% of the time (the absolute difference threshold). Our study indicates that a bias for behaviorally endorsing direct gaze is evident when using modified threshold criteria under more challenging perceptual conditions.

In addition, schizophrenia patients have demonstrated abnormalities in visual scanning of scenes and faces (Phillips et al., 2000; Phillips and David, 1997). Research shows that they do not focus on the primary features of a face, such as the eyes, and mouth, when judging facial expressions (Loughland et al., 2002). These studies often have used long stimulus exposure times and are aimed at investigating a person's natural scanning tendencies. We cannot be certain that poor scanning is not a contributing factor. Though given the trial repetition and time limits of this task, it is most likely that subjects would develop a strategy to look immediately at the salient information in order to complete the task. Furthermore, the pattern of the data suggests that they were perceiving and evaluating the gaze and geometric stimuli. However, we did not test visual scanning, so future investigations could explore the possible contribution of scanning abnormalities to gaze deficits.

*Summary and Implications*

The findings illustrated in this study and others (Rosse et al., 1994; Franck et al., 2002), that schizophrenia patients tend to misperceive gaze in a self-referential manner, are potentially important for several reasons. The direction of gaze is an important social cue. In a social interaction, using gaze as a primary cue, one person will respond to another based on their interpretations of social intention (Baron-Cohen 1995). In addition, the ability to detect direction of gaze is a pivotal skill in the development of social cognition (Loveland and Landry 1986; Mundy and Crowson 1997) and provides the foundation for later social interactions. Thus, deficits or delays in this skill may cause disruption in developing an understanding of others and ultimately interfere with social relationships. This study illustrates one way to isolate and investigate the specific contributions of gaze perception in relation to other aspects of social cognition and social functioning.

Acknowledgements: This work was supported in part by NIMH and NARSAD grants to SP. We are grateful to Satoru Suzuki, and Marcia Grabowecky for valuable input on experimental design, Amanda Moates, and Zubair Tajuddin for assistance in data collection, and Tony Hooker for assistance creating the photographic stimuli.

## REFERENCES

American Psychiatric Association (1994). *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th ed.* Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Press.

Baron-Cohen, S. (1995). *Mindblindness: An essay on autism and theory of mind.* Boston, Massachusetts: MIT Press.

Baron-Cohen, S., Ring, H., Williams, S., Wheelwright, S., Bullmore, E.T., Brammer, M.J., Andrew, C. (1999). Social intelligence: the role of the amygdale. *European Journal of Neuroscience*, 11, 1891-1898.

Campbell, R., Heywood, C., Cowey, A., Regard, M., & Landis, T. (1990). Sensitivity to eye gaze in prosopagnosia patients and monkeys with superior temporal sulcus ablation. *Neuropsychologia*, 28, 1123 - 1142.

Corrigan, P.W., Green, M.F., Toomey, R. (1994). Cognitive correlates to cue perception in schizophrenia, *Psychiatry Research* (53) 141-151.

Fenigstein, A. and Venable, P.A. (1992). Paranoia and self-consciousness, *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, (62) 129-134.

Franck, N., Departi, E., Michel, F., Mohamed, S., Dalery, J., Marie-Cardine, M., Georgieff, N. (1998). Gaze discrimination is unimpaired in schizophrenia, *Psychiatry Research*, *81*, 67-75.

Franck, N., Montoute, T., Labruyere, N., Tiberghien, G., Marie-Cardine, M., Dalery, J., d'Amato, T., Georgieff, N. (2002). Gaze direction determination in schizophrenia, *Schizophrenia Research*, *56*, 225-234.

Green, M.F., Nuechterlein, K.H., Mintz, J. (1994). Backward masking in schizophrenia and mania: I. Specifying a mechanism, *Archives of General Psychiatry*, *51*(12), 939-944.

Gesheider, G.A. (1976). *Psychophysics: Method and Theory*. Lawrence Erlbaum and Associates, Hillsdale, NJ.

Hains, S.M.J., & Muir, D.W. (1996). Infant sensitivity to adult eye direction, *Child Development*, *67*, 1940-1951.

Haith, M., Bergman, T., & Moore, M. (1977). Eye contact and face scanning in early infancy. *Science*, *198*, 855 - 865.

Harrison, P. (1999). The neuropathology of schizophrenia: a critical review of the data and their interpretation. *Brain* (122), 593-624.

Haxby, J. & Hoffman, E. (2000). Distinct representations of eye gaze and identity in the distributed human neural system for face perception. *Nature Neuroscience*, 3, 80-84.

Hood, B.M., Willen, J.D., & Driver, J. (1998). Adult's eyes trigger shifts of visual attention in human infants. *Psychological Science*, 9, 131-134.

Hooker, C., & Park, S. (April, 1999). *Eye gaze direction perception in schizophrenia patients*. Poster presented at the biannual meeting of the International Congress on Schizophrenia Research, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

Hooker, C., & Park, S. (2002). Emotion processing and its relationship to social functioning in schizophrenia patients. *Psychiatry Research* (112), 41-50.

Hooker, C.I., Paller, K.A., Gitelman, D.R., Parrish, T.B., Mesulam, M-M., and Reber, P.J. (2003). Brain networks for analyzing eye gaze. *Cognitive Brain Research*, 17(2), 406-418.

Kington, J.M., Jones, L.A., Watt, A.A., Hopkin, E.J., and Williams, J. (2000). Impaired eye expression recognition in schizophrenia. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*, 34(4), 341-347.

Loveland, K., & Landry, S. (1986). Joint attention and language in autism and developmental language delays. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 16, 335-349.

Loughland, C.M., Williams, L.M., and Gordon, E. (2002). Visual scanpaths to positive and negative facial emotions in an outpatients schizophrenia sample, *Psychiatry Research* (55), 159-170.

Mandal, M.K., Pandey, R., Prasad, A.B. (1998). Facial expressions of emotions and schizophrenia: a review. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* (24), 399-412.

Maurer, D. (1985). Infants perception of facedness. In, *Social Perception of infants*, T. Field and N. Fox (Eds.), Norwood, NJ:Ablex.

Mundy, P., & Crawson, M. (1997). Joint attention and early social communication: Implications for research on intervention with autism. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 27, 653-676.

Perrett, D.I., Smith, P.A.J., Potter, D.D., Mistlin, A.J., Head, A.S., Milner, A.D., & Jeeves, M.A. (1985). Visual cells in the temporal cortex sensitive to face view and gaze direction. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, B* 223, 293-317.

Perrett, D. I., & Emery, N. J. (1994). Understanding the intentions of others from visual signals: Neurophysiological evidence. *Current Psychological Cognition*, 13(5), 683 – 694.

Phillips, M.L., and David, A.S. (1997). Visual scan paths are abnormal in deluded schizophrenia patients. *Neuropsychologia* 35, 99 -105.

Phillips, M.L., Senior, C., and David, A.S. (2000). Perception of threat in schizophrenics with persecutory delusions: an investigation using visual scanpaths. *Psychological Medicine* (30), 157-167.

Puce, A., Allison, T., Bentin, S., Gore, J.C., & McCarthy, G. (1998). Temporal cortex activation in humans viewing eye and mouth movements. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 18(6), 2188-2199.

Raine, A. (1995). The SPQ: a scale for the assessment of schizotypal personality based on DSM-III-R criteria. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*, 17(4), 555-64.

Rosse, R.B., Kendrick, K., Wyatt, R.J., Isaac, A., Deutsch, S.I. (1994). Gaze Discrimination in patients with schizophrenia: Preliminary Report. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 151(6), 919 - 921.

Russell, T.A., Rubia, K., Bullmore, E.T., Soni, W., Suckling, J., Brammer, M.J., Simmons, A., Williams, S.C.R., and Sharma, T. (2000). Exploring the social brain in schizophrenia: left prefrontal underactivation during mental state attribution. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 157, 2040-2042.

Sergi, M.J. and Green, M.F. (2002). Social perception and early visual processing. *Schizophrenia Research* (59) 233-241.

Shenton, M. (1996). Temporal lobe structural abnormalities in schizophrenia: a selective review and presentation of new magnetic resonance findings. In Mathysse, S. and Levy, D.L. (eds) *Psychopathology: Evolution of a New Science*. Cambridge University Press.

Schwartz, B.D., Tomlin, H.R., Evans, W.J., Ross K.V. (2001).. Neurophysiologic mechanisms of attention: a selective review of early information processing in schizophrenics. *Frontiers in Bioscience*(6), 120-134.

Spitzer, R.L., & Endicott, J. (1978). *Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia (SADS)*. New York: New York State Psychiatric Institute.

Von Grunau, M., & Anston, C. (1995). The detection of gaze direction: A stare in the crowd effect, *Perception*, 24, 1297-1313.

Figure 1: In the eye gaze task, a face flashed on the screen; subjects had to judge whether or not the eyes were looking at them. In the geometric control task, a scrambled face with a white rectangle containing a small black box was flashed on the screen; subjects had to judge whether the black box was in the center of the rectangle.